### Energy dependence of variability in low mass X-ray binaries

#### Holger Stiele

National Tsing Hua University, Hsinchu Wenfei Yu (SHAO); Albert K. H. Kong (NTHU); Tomaso M. Belloni (INAF OAB) IAU XXIX General Assembly, Honolulu Hawai'i; 10. August 2015



The observed power spectral shape depends on the energy band, and hence spectral component, we are looking at.

## Low mass black hole X-ray binary

- central object is a stellar mass (3-20 M<sub>☉</sub>)
  black hole
- accretes matter from its low mass companion star (M<sub>s</sub> ≤ 1 M<sub>☉</sub>, type A,F,G,K,M) through a disc (Rochelobe overflow)
- X-ray emitting region close to event horizon
   R<sub>S</sub>







#### PDS of GRS 1915+105

- requires its own classification scheme shows 12 variability classes

Reig et al. 2003, A&A, 412, 229; van Oers et al. 2010, MNRAS, 409, 763

- band limited noise and quasi-periodic oscillation (+ upper harmonics)
- overall shape agrees between XMM and RXTE
- Source highly absorbed below 1.5 keV Martocchia et al. (2006, A&A, 448, 677)







H. Stiele

## PDS: Zoom in Low Energies



1.5 - 2.5 keV

decent fit with power law



similar result found for MAXI J 1659–152 based on Swift and



same state





#### The observed power spectral shape depends on the energy band, and hence spectral component, we are looking at.



#### PDS in low hard state

- sample of eight observations of 5 different BH XRBs
- two energy bands 1–2 keV and 4–8 keV





#### Stiele & Yu 2015, MNRAS 452, 3666

## Characteristic frequency of the BLN

determine characteristic frequency in a soft (1-2 keV) and hard (4-8 keV) band, where  $\nu_{max} = \sqrt{\nu^2 + \Delta^2}$  is the centroid frequency and  $\Delta$  is the half width at half maximum (Belloni et al. 2002, ApJ, 572, 392), for each component present in the power density spectra

for most observations we find that at least for 3 the component with the highest characteristic frequency

$$\nu_{\rm max}^{0.001} - 2 \, {\rm keV} \, \leq_{\rm Frequency [hz]}^{0.001} - 8 \, {\rm keV}$$

Swift J1753 **XTE J1650** 1.000 **XTE J1752** GX 339-4 □ H 1743  $\nu_{1-2 \, \mathrm{keV}} \, [\mathrm{Hz}]$ 0.100 0.010 0.001 0.001 0.010 1.000 0.100  $v_{4-8 \text{ keV}}$  [Hz] Swift J1753 XTE J1650 XTE J1752 GX 339-4  $\nu_{1\text{-}2\,\mathrm{keV}}\,[\mathrm{Hz}]$ decay rise 2 3 0 1 4  $v_{4-8 \text{ keV}}$  [Hz]  $\nu_{4-8 \text{ keV}}$  [Hz]



#### Covariance ratios

Covariance spectrum: rms spectrum between a narrow energy band and a broad reference band (Wilkinson & Uttley 2009, MNRAS 397, 666)

$$\sigma_{\rm cov}^2 = \frac{1}{N-1} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (X_i - \bar{X})(Y_i - \bar{Y}), \ \sigma_{\rm cov,norm} = \frac{\sigma_{\rm cov}^2}{\sqrt{\sigma_{\rm xs,y}^2}},$$

error bars are smaller compared to normal rms spectrum

- model independent way to compare variability on different time scales
- ratio of rms spectra on short (segments of 4s with 0.1s time bins) and long time scales (segments of 270s with 2.7s time bins)
- increase of covariance ratio at lower energies has been interpreted as sign of additional disc variability (Wilkinson & Uttley 2009, MNRAS 397, 666)



Stiele & Yu 2015, MNRAS 452, 3666

#### H. Stiele

#### Covariance ratio of H 1743

- XMM observed H 1743 in 2008 and 2014 during a so-called "failed" outburst
- flat cov. ratio are observed
- in contrast to increase seen in e.g. GX 339-4, Swift
  - J1753.5-0127, which has been interpreted as additional disc variability on long scales (Wilkinson & Uttley 2009, MNRAS 397, 666)
- 2 possible explanations:
  - higher inclination of H 1743-322 (around 80°; Homan et al. 2005; Miller et al. 2006) compared to other BH LMXRBs (< 70°; Motta et al. 2015) -> see H1743 more edge-on -> additional disc contribution on longer time scales does not show up
  - presence/absence of add. disc variability -> normal/"hard state only" outburst







# Schematic picture of the possible accretion geometry

Power spectral state depends on which spectral component we are looking at !

From energy spectra: ratio of disc blackbody flux to flux of the Comptonized component > 10 % in observations where

 $\nu_{\max, 1-2 \,\mathrm{keV}} < \nu_{\max, 4-8 \,\mathrm{keV}}$ 

- Senergy dependence of V<sub>max</sub> → seed photon input for Comptonized photons varies between different energy bands (Gierlinski & Zdziarski 2005; MNRAS 363, 1349)
- Senergy dependence of v<sub>max</sub> mainly observed at v > 1 Hz → inner disc radius moves inward during outburst evolution (Ingram & Done 2011, MNRAS 415, 2323)







- energy dependence of power density spectra
- in low hard state:
- break frequency of band-limited noise evolves with energy (Stiele & Yu 2015, MNRAS 452, 3666)
- in (hard) intermediate state:
- two different PDS states coexist simultaneously in the hard and soft band (Stiele & Yu 2014, MNRAS 441, 1177)
- observed PDS state depends on which spectral component we are looking at



# On the energy dependence of the persistent and bursting emission in GX 17+2

GX 17+2 is a bursting, radio loud Z source

Iow inclination (15° - 40°; Kuulkers et al. 1997, MNRAS, 287, 495)

Distance: 8 kpc (Kuulkers et al. 2002)

#### XMM-Newton observations of GX 17+2



#### Light curves – energy dependence



bin width: 9.997s

different burst duration in different energy bands:

- 2 4 keV: light curve peaks about 20 30 s after peak in other energy bands
- 1 2 keV: burst not (really) visible
  - persistent soft emission

## Evolution of spectral parameters during burst



- The background spectrum is modelled using an absorbed blackbody plus nonthermal Comptonisation model with the parameters given in the table
  - Abbody: background > burst
  - Solution States For the state of the states and states

H. Stiele

## Results



light curves show that the persistent emission contains a soft component (below 2 - 3 keV) that is also present during the burst and that remains unchanged during the (type-I) burst

Spectral analysis of the persistent and of the bursting emission shows that the soft component of the persistent emission is emitted in a larger area and at lower temperature than the bursting emission

→ the soft persistent emission origins in the boundary layer, while the bursting emission origins in unstable nuclear burning on the neutron star surface